

# REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

**Title of Publication:** Pussyman Auditions #15

**Other Known Title:** Pussyman Auditions 15

**Format:** DVD

**Director/Author:** David Christopher

**Producer/Publisher:** Not Stated

**Country of Origin:** USA

**Language:** English

**Applicant:** New Zealand Customs Service

**Distributor:** Hai Long Zhang

<b>Classification:</b>	Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.
------------------------	---

**Descriptive Note:** Contains explicit sex scenes.

**Display Conditions:** Nil

**Date of entry in Register:** 13 October 2006

**Date of direction to issue a label:** 12 October 2006

**OFLC No:** 602098

**Unexcised version of publication:**

	Component	Running time
<b>Menu:</b>	Play Movie Chapters Trailer	
<b>Feature:</b>	Pussyman Auditions #15	81.44
<b>Other timed components:</b>	Copyright Warning / Records Notices Phone Sex Notices	0.09 3.47
<b>Total running time:</b>		85.40

**Excisions:**

No Excisions Recommended

**Reasons for excisions:**

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons  
for classification:**

The publication entitled *Pussyman Auditions #15* is classified as:  
Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.

*Pussyman Auditions #15* is a DVD comprising a feature, a series of telephone and website sex notices, and other notices. The feature is made up of three scenes, each focusing on a different woman auditioning for a role in a sexually explicit feature directed by a man known as "Pussyman". The injury lies in the potential for the material to have a harmful effect on the development of children and young persons' sexual behaviour and attitudes. Degrading, dehumanising and demeaning images, in particular, may play a part in the formation or reinforcement of negative attitudes to women.

This restriction interferes with the right to freedom of expression as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This limitation is demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society, given the likely injury to the public good if it was available to children and young people.